sibility of the State Govts/Union territories concerned. Only the Yamuna bridge near Nizamuddin falls on National Highway, while Yamuna bridges near ITO and Wazirabad fall on "Other Roads" and are the responsibility of Delhi Administration.

(a) and (b). The existing ITO bridge does not require any immediate repair. However, Wazirabad bridge and Nizamuddin bridge require repairs which are being undertaken.

In order to augment the facilities for traffic to trans-Yamuna colonies, an estimate for constructing an additional four-lane bridge near ITO has already been prepared and is under sanction. Delhi Administration have also proposals for construction of two more bridges across the river Yamuna near existing Wazirabad and Nizamuddin bridges.

Mutual Funds

216. SHRIMATI BHAVANA CHICKHLIA :
KUMARI DIPIKA CHIKHLIA :

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the mutual funds launched during the last two months;

(b) the details of the terms and conditions of each of these mutual funds; and

(c) the tax benefits, if any available to the investors under each of these funds?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) No new mutual fund has been launched during the last two months.

(b) and (c). In view of reply to (a) above, this does not arise.

Aid India Consortium Meet at Paris

217. KUMARI DIPIKA CHIKHLIA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the World Bank had given any advice against soft options during ' Aid India Consortium' Meet at Paris;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the final decision taken in the meet. and

(d) the extent to which the decisions will be beneficial to India?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) and (b). In the meeting World bank had stated that serious efforts are needed to share the transitional costs of the adjustment equitably. All these goals can be satisfactorily met only through a reordering of priorities and tough decision.

(c) At the aid India Consortium Meeting held in Paris on 19-20 September, 1991, the Members of the Consortium pledged aid assistance of the order of US $ 6.7 billion.

(d) The conclusions reached in the Consortium meeting fully meet India's expectations. The financing requirements projected particularly the unbridged exceptional financing gap in the balance of payments can be met by the pledges made at the Consortium Meeting.

Advance Deposit for Purchase of Ambassador Cars

218. SHRI DHARMANNA MONDAYYA SADUL: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether some dealers of Ambassa-

dor cars have asked the customers to deposit advance amount for purchase of car;

(b) if so, whether some such cases have been brought to the notice of the Government;

(c) whether the Government propose to take action against such dealers under the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade practices Act, 1969; and

(d) if so, the number of cases received by the Government and the taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI RANGARAJAN KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) to (d). The MRTP Commission had instituted 3 enquiries against M/s Hindustan Motors Ltd. and its dealers for their allegedly indulging in Restrictive Trade Practices within the meaning of Section 2 (O) (ii) of the MRTP Act, 1969 by way of accepting the deposits in advance for selling cars and subsequently manipulating the conditions of delivery. The notice of enquiry was discharged in two cases as no material was produced by the complainant to support the allegations. In the third case, the MRTP Commission has passed a 'Cease and desist' Order on 20.9.1991 against the dealer M/s. Geo Motors, Cochin directing that he shall discontinue the restrictive trade practice and shall not repeat the same.

Realisation of Income Tax in Gujarat

219. SHRI CHANDRESH PATEL: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether income tax worth lakhs of rupees is pending realisation against a number of companies, firms, traders and industrialists as well as some individuals in Jamnagar district and other parts of Gujarat;

(b) if so, the details of such companies, individuals and others and the amount due from each of them; and

(c) the steps taken to recover these dues?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) As on 31st March, 1991 there were 3161 cases of companies, firms, individuals, etc. in the State of Gujarat in which income-tax demand of more than Rs. one lakh in each case was outstanding. The details of these cases are as under:

		Number	Income-tax demand outstanding as on 31.3.1991 (Rs. in crores)
i)	Companies	618	107.3
ii)	Individuals and other non-company assesses.	2543	275.9
	Total	3161	383.2

In view of a large number of these cases, it is not, practicable to indicate the demand outstanding in each individual case. If Hon'ble Member wants information about any particular case the same can be collected and furnished.